

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/446,628	09/19/2001	Mihael H. Polymeropoulous	31978-164334	2655
7:	7590 06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
Venable baetjer Howard & Civiletti			KAPUST, RACHEL B	
Post Office Box	x 34385 OC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, E	20013 7770		1647	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/446,628	POLYMEROPOULOUS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rachel B. Kapust	1647			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI lute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-6,10,11 and 57-61 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,10,11 and 57-59 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) 6 and 60-61 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje he drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ection is required if the drawing(s	re. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a least complex of the priority docume 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview St	ımmary (PTO-413)			
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0304. 	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1647

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

Applicant's amendment filed March 12, 2004 is acknowledged. Claim 74 has been canceled. Claims 1-6, 10-11, and 57-61 are pending and under consideration. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

Claim Rejections/Objections Withdrawn

The objection to the specification for lacking a priority statement following the title of the invention is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment to the specification.

The objection to the specification as lacking sequence identifiers is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment to the specification.

The objection to the specification for containing embedded hyperlinks is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendments to the specification.

The rejection of claims 1-3 and 57-58 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Xia et al. is withdrawn because Xia et al. do not anticipate a mutated human synuclein protein.

The rejection of claims 10-11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xia *et al.* is withdrawn because Xia *et al.* do not teach a mutated human synuclein protein. Thus it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to engineer a vector or transform a host cell with DNA encoding a mutated human synuclein protein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 1-4, 10-11, and 57-59 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for lack of enablement is maintained for reasons of record on p. 5-6 of the office action of paper no. 1003. Claim 5 is newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated human alpha-synuclein mutated to adenine at position 209, does not reasonably provide enablement for any mutated human alpha-synuclein or homolog

Art Unit: 1647

thereof or any other mutation at position 209. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicants argue that persons of skill in the art will be able to make and use the nucleic acids by using methods described in the specification and methods generally known in the art. Applicants refer to two articles subsequent to the present disclosure that teach other missense mutations in the alpha-synuclein gene that are associated with Parkinson's disease (see p. 14). Regarding the lack of enablement for other mutations at position 209, Applicants argue that there are only a limited number of possible mutations at position 209 that can be made and used, thus it is easily within the skill of an ordinary artisan and would not require undue experimentation.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but have not been found to be persuasive. Although the art cited by Applicants shows that it is possible to make and/or use other mutated alpha-synuclein proteins, the example of two mutations does not nullify the fact that it would require undue experimentation to make and/or use the invention as claimed by Applicants. Applicants teach that a mutation to adenine at position 209 of the alpha-synuclein gene is associated with Parkinson's disease (p. 6), however Applicants have not shown that other mutations at position 209 would also lead to a dysfunctional alpha-synuclein protein. Describing a single mutation is merely an invitation to the public to experiment to find others that may exist. Applicants have not provided any guidance to predict that there would be any other mutations that are involved in Parkinson's disease.

In addition, Applicants are claiming any mutated synuclein protein or any homolog thereof. Applicants state that a homolog "is understood to mean any related gene or protein that is at least 25% homologous to the alpha synuclein gene or protein" (p. 16). The proteins claimed by Applicants may have structures and functions that are different from that of the disclosed synuclein mutant or that of a wild-type synuclein protein. Moreover, the proteins claimed by Applicants may or may not be associated with Parkinson's disease. There is no functional limitation on the encoded protein, thus the mutated human synuclein protein or homolog thereof could be significantly different from that of the claimed invention. Certain positions in the encoded amino acid sequence are critical to the protein's structure/function relationship, whereas other positions may be substituted or deleted without affecting the protein's structure/function

Art Unit: 1647

relationship. Although Applicants state that a mutant alpha-synuclein protein is meant to be only those proteins which do not perform their usual or normal physiological roles, and the term "mutant" is not meant to embrace sequence variants which encode proteins which are functionally equivalent to normal synuclein proteins (p. 19), a person of skill in the art would not know whether the protein performs its "usual or normal physiological roles" until the gene is cloned and expressed.

Since detailed information regarding the structural requirements of human synuclein mutants and homologs is lacking, the state of the prior art, the unpredictability of the art, the lack of working examples, the breadth of the claims, and the lack of direction provided by the Applicants, it would require undue experimentation by one of skill in the art to practice the invention as claimed without further guidance from the instant specification.

The rejection of claims 1-4, 10-11, and 57-59 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for failing to comply with the written description requirement is maintained for reasons of record on p. 6-7 of the office action of paper no. 1003. Claim 5 is newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding the lack of written description for mutations at position 209 other than adenine, Applicants argue that the description provided in the specification is clearly adequate to cover the limited number of variants containing a mutation at position 209. Applicants also argue that the two articles cited by the Applicants demonstrate that other missense mutations in the alpha-synuclein gene can cause Parkinson's disease, thus the claimed invention meets the written description requirements for patentability (see p. 15 of response).

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but have not been found to be persuasive. The instant disclosure of one mutant human alpha-synuclein does not adequately describe the scope of the claimed genus, which encompasses hundreds of different nucleotide sequences. As discussed above, the genus as disclosed by Applicants encompasses nucleotide sequences that are at least 25% homologous to SEQ ID NO: 1 and alpha-synuclein sequences

Art Unit: 1647

wherein the mutation is a substitution, deletion, transversion, or transition. Although other mutations in the alpha-synuclein gene can cause Parkinson's disease, the mutations cited by the Applicants are all subsequent to the filing of the current application. 35 U.S.C. 112 requires that the Applicants had possession of the claimed invention at the time the application was filed. At the time the application was filed, the only mutation known to be associated with Parkinson's disease was that taught by Applicants. At the time of the filing of the application, one skilled in the art would not be able to visualize or recognize the identity of the full scope of nucleotide sequences claimed.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116).

With the exception of the human alpha-synuclein having a mutation at position 209, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed polynucleotides, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The nucleic acid itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In *Fiddes*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Therefore, only isolated alpha-synuclein polynucleotides having a mutation at position 209 but not the full breadth of the claims meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph.

Art Unit: 1647

Conclusion

Claims 6 and 60-61 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-5, 10-11, and 57-59 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel B. Kapust whose telephone number is (571) 272-0886. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RBK 5/28/04

JANET ANDRES
PATENT EXAMINER